

Software development myths that block your career

Piotr Horzycki peterdev.pl



Focus on the right thing

The 80/20 rule (Pareto principle)

Become a problem solver, not maker!



Sophisticated architecture + Hype-Driven Development



IMPLEMENTALL THE DESIGN PATTERNS!



d Hype-Driven Development

Not every system requires:

- ORM
- CQRS
- Hexagonal Architecture
- Microservices
- Machine Learning





Y 0 🖬 Ø 🟳

Hype Driven Development



https://blog.daftcode.pl/hype-driven-development-3469fc2e9b22?gi=a52a6e685546

• You can be the voice of reason

- You can be the voice of reason
- Match solutions to problems

- You can be the voice of reason
- Match solutions to problems
- Faster development

- You can be the voice of reason
- Match solutions to problems
- Faster development
- Save project from missing deadlines and failure

- You can be the voice of reason
- Match solutions to problems
- Faster development
- Save project from missing deadlines and failure
- More time for important things

- You can be the voice of reason
- Match solutions to problems
- Faster development
- Save project from missing deadlines and failure
- More time for important things
- Reduce costs

- You can be the voice of reason
- Match solutions to problems
- Faster development
- Save project from missing deadlines and failure
- More time for important things
- Reduce costs
- Easier team building





Line coverage is a tool to find untested code.

But it's not enough to determine the quality of tests.







What's the coverage? On a scale from 1 to 10, how much do we **trust** these tests?



if (something) {
 doThis();
}

doOtherThing();

100% line coverage50% branchcoverage



d Mutation testing

	122	// Verify for a "" component at next iter
	123 3	if ((newcomponents.get(i)).length() > 0 &
	124	{
	125	newcomponents.remove(i);
	126	newcomponents.remove(i);
	127 1	i = i - 2;
	128 1	if (i < -1)
	129	{
	130	i = -1;
	131	}
	132	}
	133	}

Mutation testing tells you how precise your tests are

d Make refactoring easier

Too strict unit tests limit the possibility of refactoring.



d Better testing strategy: Key benefits

- Improved quality
- Less bugs
- More stability
- Easier refactoring

Rewrite everything?!



Old system = old bugs New system = new bugs

Rewrite might be necessary (like termination of Adobe Flash)

...but usually it's not

d Pros and cons of rewrites

The Addison-Wesley Signature Series

"Any fool can write code that a computer can understand. Good programmers write code that humans can understand." —M. Fowler (1999)

Refactoring

Improving the Design of Existing Code

Martin Fowler with contributions by Kent Beck



SECOND EDITION

"The project management was not **pleased.** (...) two programmers had spent two days doing work that added **nothing** to the many features the system had to deliver in a few months' time. The old code had worked just fine. Yes, the design was a bit more "pure" and a bit more "clean." But the project had to **ship code that worked**, not code that would please an academic. (...) Six months later, the project failed."

• Writing tests

- Writing tests
- Refactoring step by step

- Writing tests
- Refactoring step by step
- Event Storming

- Writing tests
- Refactoring step by step
- Event Storming
- Facade
- Strangler Pattern
- Anti-Corruption Layer

Action	Cost	Expected benefit
Rewrite the shopping cart	XL	М
Refactor user registration	L	L
Optimize SQL for listing products	М	L

Action	Cost	Expected benefit
Rewrite the shopping cart	XL	М
Refactor user registration	L	L
Optimize SQL for listing products	М	L

d Refactoring strategy: Key benefits

- Save project from missing deadlines and failure
- Preserve the existing behavior of a system
- More time for important things

d Refactoring strategy: Key benefits

- Save project from missing deadlines and failure
- Preserve the existing behavior of a system
- More time for important things
- Small step improvements are visible quickly
- Dopamine shots, avoid burnout
- Small gains accrued give big wins

We must have Scrum...?



Sprints can make people stressed because they believe that:

- They must do all the planned work
- They must not change the scope of the sprint

Wrong!



New tools for Retrospectives

More color post-its

Better estimates

More bureaucracy





Commitment

Focus

Openness

Respect

Courage

https://scrumguides.org/scrum-guide.html#scrum-values

d Five dysfunctions of a team



https://www.strategypunk.com/leadership-5-dysfunctions-of-a-team-powerpoint-template/
- Team Canvas
- lonl
- "How-to" for other teams
- Invite other teams for your Sprint Review

- Team Canvas
- lonl
- "How-to" for other teams
- Invite other teams for your Sprint Review
- Conway's Law

- Team Canvas
- lonl
- "How-to" for other teams
- Invite other teams for your Sprint Review
- Conway's Law
- Extreme Ownership

- Team Canvas
- lonl
- "How-to" for other teams
- Invite other teams for your Sprint Review
- Conway's Law
- Extreme Ownership
- Scrum alternatives (Kanban, Fast Agile)

č Key benefits

- Improve the company culture
- Reduce stress
- Align around common goals
- Practice soft skills
- Better systems architecture

Meetings are a waste of time...?



"Ok, let's get back to work"



"Ok, let's get back to work"

"This could have been an email"

C

Development is teamwork

You need to work together, but also respect each other's time







d Improving meetings

- A meeting should have an agenda
- Do we need everyone on this call?
- Don't be afraid to reject a meeting

d Improving meetings

- A meeting should have an agenda
- Do we need everyone on this call?
- Don't be afraid to reject a meeting
- Moderation, getting to the point
- Timeboxing
- Focus (avoid distraction)

d Improving meetings

- A meeting should have an agenda
- Do we need everyone on this call?
- Don't be afraid to reject a meeting
- Moderation, getting to the point
- Timeboxing
- Focus (avoid distraction)
- Meeting notes, action points
- Collaboration tools

č Key benefits

- Improve the company culture
- Reduce stress
- Better productivity
- Better alignment, more commitment

Ticking all the boxes

d Average IT job offer

- Years of experience
- AWS, BDD, DDD, TDD, ABC, XYZ
- Tons of fancy keywords
- Gimmicks

Result: Impostor syndrome





As a candidate

As a recruiter

You don't have to tick all the boxes

You can't expect people to tick all the boxes

d Philosophers team





Everyone's awesome at something different:

- Architecture
- Design
- Management
- Performance
- Security
- Scalability
- Testing



Solution Luca Rossi • 1. I help engineering leaders build great teams with Refactoring.club 1 t • **Solution**

Most fast-growing startups that struggle at hiring would be just fine by focusing on 1) hiring mostly junior devs and 2) training them well.

Cheaper + faster + less competition + great results in the long run.



Gabriele Proni • 2.1tydz. (edytowano) •••Unlocking the potential of remote working through systems and proc...

Since I implemented a senior: junior ratio of 1: 3, I doubled the team's productivity.

č Key benefits

- Fight the impostor syndrome
- Build strong, cross-functional teams
- Better software quality
- Faster onboarding
- Reduce costs





Become a real pro

by focusing on the right things :)



Thank you!



hi@espeo.eu

